

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,943	MCMICHAEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louise Humphrey, Ph.D.	1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Louise Humphrey and Jeffrey Parkin, Examiners. (3) Adrian Hill, Joerg Schneider, Inventors.
 (2) Ann Collins and Eric Balicky. (4) Felix White.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hodge et al. (1997), Pialoux et al. (1995), Egan et al. (1995).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- (1) Applicants' representatives discussed the outstanding rejections with the Examiners.
- (2) Applicants agree to file a supplementary amendment.
- (3) Applicants agree to submit declarations showing additional embodiments encompassed by the claims to support their reasoning for the traversal of the prior art rejections.
- (4) Applicants agree to amend the claims by adding a "wherein" clause that ties in with the preamble of generating CD8 T cell response in the claimed method .